

| Programme / Project Name | | | | | SBCD Portfolio Management Office | | | | | |
|------------------------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------|--------|----------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------|--------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Director / Programme / Project Lead Name | | | | | Jonathan Burnes | | | | | |
| Last Updated | | | | | Dec-22 | | | | | |
| ID | Risk ID (if applicable) | Date Identified | Status | Priority | Issue Description | Owner | Escalation Needed (Y/N)? | Impact | Original Action | Follow-on actions & Final Resolution |
| SBCD022 | | 16/02/22 | Open | Red ↔ | Measuring of GVA at a programme and Project level - The PoMO has confirmed that currently GVA cannot be measured as an indicator. This is due to the nature of GVA and it being a Macro measure i.e. regional, an accurate measure at a micro level e.g. proj. or prog. is not achievable | W/UKG & PoMO | N | One if the HoT requirements is GVA contribution, whilst the Portfolio will deliver uplifts in GVA it's quantification method is still unknown | UKG/WG have been tasked with confirming with their economists how this can be calculated. If this is not an achievable metric, they are tasked with determining a suitable metric (if required) | <p>29/06/22 Proposal submitted to Programme (Portfolio) Board 31st May and WCGIB 13th June for consideration. Awaiting feedback from UKG &WG ahead of resubmission to Programme (Portfolio) Board to consider.</p> <p>30/09/22 Paper submitted to the WCGIB on 13th June and accepted in principle. Further consultation required and currently with DLUC for decision. In the interim, UK / WG City Deal contacts have accepted that we will not report quarterly on GVA.</p> <p>19/12/22 On going. Awaiting formal response.</p> |
| SBCD025 | | 14/02/22 | Open | Red ↑ | Delay in funding agreements being approved | Finance | N | Impacts on disbursement of grant. Delay to delivery of projects. Knock on effect with ability to recruit. Potentially impacting on benefits realisation. | Ongoing liaison with legal officers and programmes/projects | <p>29/06/22 7 out of the 9 programmes / projects have been approved. 2 in the final stage of approval.</p> <p>30/09/22 8 out of 9 programmes / projects have now committed to the primary funding agreements Campuses currently being worked on with legal officers / partners with a view of being entered imminently. Secondary agreements currently being developed for Campuses, HAPS, Skills & Talent and Digital Infrastructure between Lead Authority and Lead Deliverer.</p> <p>19/12/22 Digital Infrastructure current status of secondary funding agreement is that it's been to legal colleagues and is now being finalised ready for signatory</p> |